NEPA/Floodplain Determination Form

(Name)

Gary L. Porter

(Title)

Historic Preservation Specialist

То В	e Comple	eted by the	Realty Specialist					
Proje	ct Name	:	- X	Hotel Monaco Restaurant Expansion				
Proje	ect Addre	ess:	*	701 E Street, NW				
City:	(i	Washing	ton	State:	DC	Zip Code:	20004	
Ager	ncy: (SSA			Lease/Project	t Number:	·	
	7.00	71.79					Computation and L. [1]	
Size	of Lease	/Project (se	quare footage):	3000 sqft	Number of Po	ersonnel:	No additional Personnel	
Prov	ided Par	king:		No parking	provided			
Requ	iirement	Action Ty	pe: New []	Continuing Nee	d Expansion	on x Extensi	on Other:	
Faci	lity:	⊠ Exist	ing Building	Speculative Bu	iilding 🔲 Bui	ld to Suit		
	7							
Туре	e of Buil	ding (office	e, lab, warehouse,	etc.):	Hotel			
Use	of existi	ng Building	g;		Restaurant			
Any	Change	of Use if E	Existing:	-	No Change	4h.	th	
Deli	neated A	rea Descri	ption:				et, F st and 8 th street	
Esti	nated Av	ward Date:			Private Fun	ding – April 2	2016	
	<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>Item</u>	*				
1.	x		Floodplain Map	Attached (See	Floodplain Ma	pping)		
2.	x		Located within (If yes, proceed					
3.	х				officer (RHPC		N/A	
4.		x	Result in the us	e, storage, rele are of people to	ase and/or dispo such materials?	osal of toxic, h	azardous, or radioactive materials,	
	nature:	Realty S	pecialist ds prior to submit	ting to the NEF		J-28.	2016 -	

NEPA/Floodplain Determination Form

То Ве	Completed by the NEPA Office	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH				
	Environmental Determination	Comment/Citation				
x	Automatic CATEX	It has been determined the project will have no adverse effect on the NHL property				
	Checklist CATEX					
	Environmental Assessment					
	Environmental Impact Statement					
	Property of the Company of the Compa	Brown S. The Avent B. Addition (0) is vising to Depart this continue of the second of				
	Floodpla	in Determination				
х	Outside 100-Year and 500-Year Floodplain (No Further Action)					
	500-Year Floodplain (Critical Action Determine	ation Letter Required)				
83	Yes No ☐ Critical Action ☐ 8-Step Process Required	•				
	[If 'Critical Action,' then 8-Step Process Requir	red; if 'not a Critical Action,' then no further action required]				
	100-Year Floodplain (8-Step Process Required)					
	Com	ments/Notes				
The pr the Ho disturk	tel Monaco. The extension will add approximate	of the hotel's restaurant within the interior courtyard of ately 2000 sq ft of usable space and will not require ground				
		An				
Signatu	nre: NEPA Officer	Date: 1-28-16				

Automatic Categorical Exclusion NEPA Memo to File

AutoCATEX Form Last Revised 2/17/12

	Se	ection I – Project	Information		
rom			ease No. /A	n non	
ary L. Porter			roject No.	7/4	
itle	Specialist		/Å	-1-81-1 B-2	
listoric Preservation	Орсониточ	A	Agency		
o _{ate} anuary 28, 2016			SA		
directly	1 mm 2	Section II - Site	Information	Floodplain	
H. W. W.		an S	ize (SF) indicate GSF or RSF	The state of the s	
Building Name Hotel Monaco/Ger	neral Post Offic	,	000 sf restaurant dining	Critical Action Letter *	
Building #			Built Date	N/A	
C0036zz			Personnel .	Critical Action *	
Street Address			No additional personnel	N/A	
701 E Street, NW	:		# Parking Spaces	Floodplain Justification	
City		.,,	No parking	N/A RHPO Notification	
Washington	Zip Code		Type of Space (office, lab, etc.)	Ves	
State	20004	11/2	Restaurant dining	nance of flooding would be too great a risk	
		Section III - Act	ceeding lease, and relate to the cate in accordance with Sec extend the non-historic	Jested balow)	
The Hotel operates the Historic Preservation dining room. The dininterior courtyard.	n Act. The Hotel is ning room was orig	ginally constr	extend the non-instanc ucted in 2000 and is loca	ated in the buildings	
Historic Preservation dining room. The dir	n Act. The Hotel is	ginally constr	ucted in 2000 and is loca	ated in the buildings	
Historic Preservation dining room. The dininterior courtyard.	ning room was orig	ginally constr		ated in the buildings	
Historic Preservation dining room. The dininterior courtyard.	ning room was orig	gillany	ucted in 2000 and is loca Description: Fema Floodplain Map	ated in the buildings	
Historic Preservation dining room. The dirinterior courtyard. Supplemental Docu (e.g., site map, floodp	ning room was originated in the indicated in the indicate	ect details)	Description: Fema Floodplain Map	VI NO III	
Historic Preservation dining room. The dirinterior courtyard. Supplemental Docu (e.g., site map, floodp	ming room was original room wa	ect details) Section IV –	Description: Fema Floodplain Map Types of Action	lucion (CATEX) as selected	
Historic Preservation dining room. The dirinterior courtyard. Supplemental Docu (e.g., site map, floodp	ming room was original room wa	ect details) Section IV –	Description: Fema Floodplain Map Types of Action	lucion (CATEX) as selected	
Historic Preservation dining room. The dirinterior courtyard. Supplemental Docu (e.g., site map, floodp) Based on the definitions phelow. As such, it is excl	mentation Attached? provided in Section VI,	Section IV — this action qualifients to prepare an	Description: Fema Floodplain Map Types of Action es for an automatic categorical of EA or an EIS, pursuant to parag	exclusion (CATEX) as selected graph 5.3 of the PBS NEPA Desk	
Historic Preservation dining room. The dirinterior courtyard. Supplemental Docu (e.g., site map, floodp	mentation Attached? provided in Section VI,	Section IV – This action qualifients to prepare an egories that best a	Description: Fema Floodplain Map Types of Action es for an automatic categorical of EA or an EIS, pursuant to paragraphy to the action described in S	exclusion (CATEX) as selected graph 5.3 of the PBS NEPA Desk	
Historic Preservation dining room. The dirinterior courtyard. Supplemental Docu (e.g., site map, floodp) Based on the definitions phelow. As such, it is excl	mentation Attached? provided in Section VI,	Section IV — this action qualifinents to prepare an egories that best a	Description: Fema Floodplain Map Types of Action es for an automatic categorical of EA or an EIS, pursuant to paragraphy to the action described in S m	exclusion (CATEX) as selected graph 5.3 of the PBS NEPA Desk	
Historic Preservation dining room. The dirinterior courtyard. Supplemental Docu (e.g., site map, floodp) Based on the definitions phelow. As such, it is exel Guide (October 1999).	mentation Attached? plain map, additional proje provided in Section VI, luded from the requirem Please select the cate	Section IV — this action qualifients to prepare an egories that best a i i i j	Description: Fema Floodplain Map Types of Action es for an automatic categorical of EA or an EIS, pursuant to paragraphy to the action described in S	exclusion (CATEX) as selected graph 5.3 of the PBS NEPA Desk Section III.	
Historic Preservation dining room. The dirinterior courtyard. Supplemental Docu (e.g., site map, floodp) Based on the definitions phelow. As such, it is exel Guide (October 1999).	mentation Attached? plain map, additional proje provided in Section VI, luded from the requirem Please select the cate	Section IV — this action qualifinents to prepare an gories that best ag i j k	Description: Fema Floodplain Map Types of Action es for an automatic categorical of EA or an EIS, pursuant to paragraphy to the action described in S m n 0	exclusion (CATEX) as selected graph 5.3 of the PBS NEPA Desk Section III. q	
Historic Preservation dining room. The dirinterior courtyard. Supplemental Docu (e.g., site map, floodp) Based on the definitions phelow. As such, it is exel Guide (October 1999). a b c	mentation Attached? lain map, additional proje provided in Section VI, luded from the requirem Please select the cate □ e □ f	Section IV — this action qualifients to prepare an egories that best a i i i j	Description: Fema Floodplain Map Types of Action es for an automatic categorical of EA or an EIS, pursuant to paragraphy to the action described in S m n 0	exclusion (CATEX) as selected graph 5.3 of the PBS NEPA Desk Section III.	
Historic Preservation dining room. The dirinterior courtyard. Supplemental Docu (e.g., site map, floodp) Based on the definitions pelow. As such, it is excl Guide (October 1999). a b b	mentation Attached? lain map, additional projection VI, luded from the requirem Please select the cate □ e □ f □ g	Section IV — this action qualifinents to prepare an gories that best ag i i j k l l	Description: Fema Floodplain Map Types of Action es for an automatic categorical of EA or an EIS, pursuant to paragraphy to the action described in S m n n p	exclusion (CATEX) as selected graph 5.3 of the PBS NEPA Desk Section III. q	
Historic Preservation dining room. The dirinterior courtyard. Supplemental Docu (e.g., site map, floodp) Based on the definitions pelow. As such, it is excl Guide (October 1999). a b c d	mentation Attached? Indian map, additional projection via the requirem provided in Section VI, and the requirem provided in the requirem provided	Section IV — this action qualifinents to prepare an gories that best ag i i j k l l	Description: Fema Floodplain Map Types of Action es for an automatic categorical of EA or an EIS, pursuant to paragraphy to the action described in S m	exclusion (CATEX) as selected graph 5.3 of the PBS NEPA Desk Section III. q	
Historic Preservation dining room. The dirinterior courtyard. Supplemental Docu (e.g., site map, floodp) Based on the definitions below. As such, it is excl Guide (October 1999). a b c d	mentation Attached? ming room was original project of the cate of	Section IV — this action qualifinents to prepare an gories that best ag i i j k l l	Description: Fema Floodplain Map Types of Action es for an automatic categorical of EA or an EIS, pursuant to paragraphy to the action described in S m	exclusion (CATEX) as selected graph 5.3 of the PBS NEPA Desk Section III. q	
Historic Preservation dining room. The dirinterior courtyard. Supplemental Docu (e.g., site map, floodp) Based on the definitions pelow. As such, it is excl Guide (October 1999). a b c d Project Representative	mentation Attached? Indian map, additional projection via the requirem provided in Section VI, and the requirem provided in the requirem provided	Section IV — this action qualifinents to prepare an gories that best ag i i j k l l	Description: Fema Floodplain Map Types of Action es for an automatic categorical of EA or an EIS, pursuant to paragraphy to the action described in S m n n p p V-Approval Environmental Protection	exclusion (CATEX) as selected graph 5.3 of the PBS NEPA Desk Section III. q	

Section VI - Category Descriptions

Pursuant to paragraph 5.3 of the PBS NEPA Desk Guide (October 1999) the following are automatic CATEXs and require no checklist:

- Outleases, licenses, and other arrangements for non-federal use of space in existing Federal office buildings, where such use is consistent with local planning and zoning, where Section 106 of the NHPA is complied with where applicable; and there is no evidence of community controversy or unresolved environmental issues.
- Acquisition of space within an existing structure, either by purchase or lease, where no change in the general type of use and only minimal change from previous occupancy level is proposed (previous occupant need not have been a Federal tenant).
- Relocation of employees into existing Federally controlled space that does not involve a substantial change in the number of employees or
- Reductions in force or other personnel, administrative, or ministerial actions, including bargaining with employee unions and managing routine activities normally conducted to protect or maintain GSA-controlled properties (e.g., security and custodial services).
- Lease extensions, renewals, or succeeding leases.
- Outlease or license of government-controlled space, or sublease of government-leased space to a non-Federal tenant when the use will remain substantially the same.
- Acquisition of land or easements that result in no immediate change in use and where subsequent compliance with NEPA and other applicable laws and regulations will take place as needed.
- Site characterization studies and environmental monitoring, including siting, construction, operation, and dismantling or closing of characterization and monitoring devices. Such activities include, but are not limited to the following:
 - Site characterization and environmental monitoring activities under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)
 - Geological, geophysical, geochemical, and engineering surveys and mapping, including the establishment of survey marks
 - Installation and operation of field instruments, such as stream-gauging stations or flow-measuring devices, telemetry systems, geochemical monitoring tools, and geophysical exploration tools
 - Drilling of wells for sampling or monitoring of groundwater, well logging, and installation of water-level recording devices in wells
 - Aquifer response testing
 - Installation and operation of ambient air monitoring equipment
 - Sampling and characterization of water, soil rock, or contaminants
 - Sampling and characterization of water effluents, air emissions, or solid waste streams;
 - Sampling of flora or fauna
 - Historic property identification and evaluation studies in compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA)
- Administrative actions such as procurement of consultant services for appraisal or environmental analysis.
- Repair and alteration projects involving, but not adversely affecting, properties listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places, when there is no evidence of community controversy or other environmental issues. The process required by Section 106 of the NHPA must be followed; see ADM 1020.2.
- Other repair and alteration projects where:
 - No toxic or hazardous substances are involved with the project or exist in or on the property where the project takes place;
 - No properties listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places are involved;
 - The building footprint or envelope will not be increased;
 - There is no evidence of community controversy; and
 - There is no evidence of other unresolved environmental issues.
- Repairs and alterations or modernization conducted in accordance with applicable plans, such as Facility Master Plans, where such plans have been reviewed under NEPA and there is no evidence of community controversy or unresolved environmental issues. The process required by Section 106 of the NHPA must be followed; see ADM 1020.2.
- (m) Repair to or replacement in kind of equipment or components in GSA-controlled facilities without change in location, e.g. HVAC, electrical distribution systems, windows, doors or roof where there is no evidence of unresolved environmental issues.
- Facility maintenance, custodial, and groundskeeping activities not involving environmentally sensitive areas (such as eroded areas, wetlands, cultural sites, etc.), including window washing, lawn mowing, trash collecting, and snow removal.
- Procurement contracts for professional services and supplies not addressed elsewhere here.
- (p) Preparation of implementation guidance.
- (q) Studies that involve no commitment of resources other than manpower and funding.
- Assisting Federal agencies in public utilities management (excluding communications), negotiating for public utility services on behalf of (r) Federal agencies, and providing expert testimony before public utility regulatory bodies.
- Federal real property utilization surveys in accordance with Executive Order 12348. (s)
- Real property inspections for compliance with deed restrictions.
- Administrative action by GSA to remove clouds on titles.
- (v) Disposal of real property required by public law wherein Congress has specifically exempted the action from the requirements of NEPA.

